

A large teal graphic element on the left side of the page, consisting of a triangle at the top and a trapezoid below it, forming a shape that resembles a stylized mountain or a modern architectural structure.

Harmancık Wind Power Plant (WPP) Project

Critical Habitat Assessment (CHA)

June 2024

This page left intentionally blank for pagination.

Mott MacDonald
Mesa Koz
Sahrayıcedit District
Atatürk Street No. 69 / 255
34734 Kadıköy
Istanbul
Turkey

T +90 (0) 216 766 3118
mottmac.com

Harmancık Wind Power Plant (WPP) Project

Critical Habitat Assessment (CHA)

June 2024

Issue and Revision Record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	May 2024	Esra Demirhan Melisa M. Turan	Gizem A. Gurler	Neslihan Ayvaz	Draft CHA
B	June 2024	Esra Demirhan Melisa M. Turan	Gizem A. Gurler	Neslihan Ayvaz	Final CHA

Document reference: 22100030 | CHA | B |

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Contents

Definitions and Abbreviations	1
Executive summary	2
1 Introduction	3
1.1 Project Background	3
1.2 Scope of the Study	3
2 Approach	4
2.1 Applicable Guidelines and Standards	5
2.1.1 National Requirements	5
2.1.2 International Requirements	6
2.1.3 Project Standards	6
2.2 Data Collection	7
2.2.1 Desktop Study	7
2.2.2 Field Surveys	8
2.3 Identification of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis	9
2.4 Limitations and Assumptions	13
2.5 Critical Habitat Assessment Criteria	13
3 Baseline Conditions	16
3.1 Internationally Recognised and Nationally Protected Areas	16
3.2 Habitats and Flora	16
3.3 Fauna	21
3.3.1 Birds	21
3.3.2 Bats	22
3.3.3 Terrestrial fauna (non-bat mammals, reptiles, amphibians)	24
3.3.4 Invertebrates	24
4 Critical Habitat Assessment	25
4.1 Criteria 1-3: Species Biodiversity Values	25
4.2 Criterion 4: Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems	36
4.3 Criterion 5: Key Evolutionary Processes	36
5 CONCLUSION	37
Tables	
Table 2-1 Habitat Classes	4

Table 2-2: National Legislation on Biodiversity	6
Table 2-3: Quantitative thresholds for triggering Critical Habitat for Criteria 1-4	14
Table 3.1: Habitat Types of the Project Aol	16
Table 3-2 Habitat Loss on Site Roads	16
Table 3-3 Habitat Loss on Turbine Footprint	17
Table 3-4 Habitat Loss on Switchyard Area	17
Table 3.5: Habitat Loss on ETL	17
Table 3.6 The endemic species in the Project area and their coordinates	19
Table 3.7: List of significant species, conservation status and whether they were observed or are indicated in literature (L/O).	21
Table 3.8: List of bat species of the Project area with their conservation status, collision risk and whether they were observed in the National EIA.	23
Table 3.9: List of significant terrestrial fauna for the Project area	24
Table 4.1: Plant Species CHA – Criteria 1-2	26
Table 4.2: CHA for Bird Species depends on Criteria 1-3	29
Table 4.3: CHA for Bat Species depends on Criteria 1-3	32
Table 4.4: CHA for Terrestrial Fauna Species depends on Criteria 1-3	35
Table 4.5: CHA for Invertebrate Species depends on Criteria 1-3	35
Table 4.6: Criterion 4- Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems Assessment	36
Table 5-1 Critical Habitat Trigger Species	37
Table 5-2 PBF	37

Figures

Figure 2-1: EAAA for Flora and Terrestrial Fauna for the Project	10
Figure 2-2: EAAA for Birds and Bats for the Project	11
Figure 2-3: Aol for different biological taxa for the Project	12
Figure 3.1: Habitat Types of Aol	18
Figure 3-2: Location of Endemic Flora Species	20
Figure 4.1: Location of Target Flora Species	28

Definitions and Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
AoA	Area of Analysis
AoI	Area of Influence
AZE	Alliance for Zero Extinction
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan
BMMP	Biodiversity Management and Monitoring Plan
CHA	Critical Habitat Assessment
CITES	Convention for the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
CR	Critically Endangered
CRM	Collusion Risk Model
DD	Data Deficient
EAAA	Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis
EBRD	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EN	Endangered
EOO	Extent of Occurrence
ESIA	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
EU	European Union
EUNIS	European Nature Information System
GN	Guidance Notes
IAoI	Indirect Area of Influence
IBA	Important Bird Area
IFC	International Finance Cooperation
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
JPM	J.P. Morgan
KBA	Key Biodiversity Area
LC	Least Concern
NT	Near Threatened
PBF	Priority Biodiversity Features
PR	Performance Requirement
PS	Performance Standard
RAMSAR	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance Especially as Waterfowl Habitat
VP	Vintage Point
VU	Vulnerable
WPP	Wind Power Plant

Executive summary

CHA for Harmancik WPP Project has been undertaken in line with IFC PS6 and corresponding GN to identify areas which are considered as critical habitats and critical habitats triggering species. The CHA presents the screening of biodiversity features and threatened wildlife, and plant species identified.

This report aims to identify Critical Habitat-qualifying biodiversity associated with the Project; Natural and Modified Habitat and identify the recommended next steps for the Project, including identification of data gaps and the need for additional field surveys. Thus, based on these aims literature searches, desktop and field studies were conducted, nationally and internationally recognized areas were considered within EAAA. In line with PS6 and corresponding GN, the critical habitats, critical habitat triggered species and important biodiversity features were determined considering that the critical habitats are areas with high biodiversity value, including (i) habitat of significant importance to CR and/or EN species; (ii) habitat of significant importance to endemic and/or restricted-range species; (iii) habitat supporting globally significant concentrations of migratory species and/or congregatory species; (iv) highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems; and/or (v) areas associated with key evolutionary processes within EAAA.

Due to a combination of uncertainties with the Project specific data and global and/or regional availability of relevant literature for some species, a high-level assessment was accomplished for the present CHA. This CHA study should be considered preliminary, as extensive additional baseline surveys have been scheduled in 2024 for flora, fauna, birds, and bats to enhance the baseline by addressing data quality and quantity. The CHA is expected to undergo significant revision after the data gaps have been bridged following the baseline collection.

In light of the assessment, one plant species was determined as critical habitat trigger species, and two bird species as potential CH triggers to be clarified in 2024 additional baseline. Besides, two habitats were determined as priority biodiversity feature. Additionally, 6 bird species, 5 plant species, 13 mammal species and one reptile were identified as PBF for a total of 27 PBF triggers.

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Background

Enerjisa Üretim Santralleri Anonim Şirketi has been awarded to invest in the Çanakkale Connection Region on 30 May 2019 within the scope of “Renewable Energy Resource Areas (YEKA) Regulation” and “Allocation of Wind Energy Based Renewable Energy Resource Areas (YEKA) and Total Connection Capacities”. Upon this award, a “YEKA Use Rights Agreement” was signed between Enerjisa Üretim Santralleri Anonim Şirketi and Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (MoENR) on 09 March 2020. Subsequently, the “YEKA Use Rights Agreement” signed by Enerjisa Üretim Santralleri Anonim Şirketi for the Çanakkale Connection Region was transferred to Enerjisa Enerji Üretim Anonim Şirketi (“Enerjisa Üretim” or “the Project Company”) with the transfer agreements signed on 03 June 2021.

Harmancık WPP Project (“the Project”) with 10 turbines and 42 MWm/42 MWe total installed power, is planned to be established by Enerjisa Üretim in Çanakkale Province, Merkez and Lapseki Districts, Yukarıokçular, Kızılkçeçili, Üçpınar and Hacıgelen Neighbourhoods. The Project components consist of 10 turbines, a switchyard, Project roads (i.e., access and site roads), a 68.75 tonnes/hour capacity mobile crushing and screening facility, to be used as necessary, as well as an energy transmission line (ETL) as a Project associate facility. The Project is part of a nine-project wind energy investment package initiated by Enerjisa Üretim which has a 750 MW total installed power from a total of 180 wind turbines located in Aegean and Marmara Regions of western Turkey; aiming to evaluate and utilize the wind energy potential of the region and contribute to the national strategy and regional economy.

1.2 Scope of the Study

This report includes CHA for Harmancık WPP Project, that has been undertaken in line with IFC PS6 and corresponding GN to identify areas which are considered as critical habitats.

PS6 makes several stipulations for Critical Habitat, including achievement of a net gain for Critical Habitat-qualifying biodiversity. A net gain is required for all Critical Habitat features potentially affected by the Project. Where significant residual adverse effects are not predicted, additional conservation actions supported by qualitative evidence and expert opinion may be sufficient to substantiate a net gain. If, however, after the application of feasible preventive and restorative actions in the first steps of the mitigation hierarchy (avoid, mitigate, restore), there is a potentially significant residual impact on a Critical Habitat qualifying feature then ecological compensation (offset) is required with measurable conservation outcomes at an appropriate geographical scale. In Natural Habitat, no net loss, where possible, is required. A robust project specific ESIA baseline is vital, followed by an iterative and thorough application of the mitigation hierarchy to ensure that impacts are avoided, minimized, and restored as far as feasible, reducing the significance of any residual impacts and the requirement for offsetting.

This report is a living document and hence, should be updated to reflect increased understanding of Project program and design throughout construction and operation (until agreed otherwise by Project Lenders) and should also be informed by new information as it becomes available (e.g., as obtained from ongoing/pre-construction surveys or as received from pertinent stakeholders).

2 Approach

In accordance with IFC PS6, habitats are divided into modified, natural and critical habitats. Critical habitats can be either modified or natural habitats supporting high biodiversity value, including:

- Habitat of significant importance to CR and/or EN species (IUCN Red List)
- Habitat of significant importance to endemic and/or restricted-range species
- Habitat supporting globally significant concentrations of migratory species and/or congregatory species
- Highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems
- Areas associated with key evolutionary processes

PS6 guides how to best identify three classes of area based on vegetation condition ('quality' or 'state'), and significance for biodiversity (Table 2-1). PS6 uses the term 'habitat' to refer to these areas, rather than the actual vegetation within them. These three-area classes are (i) Modified Habitat; (ii) Natural Habitat; and (iii) Critical Habitat (with Critical Habitat a subset of Modified and Natural Habitat).

Habitat condition is classified as either Natural or Modified based on the extent of human modification of the ecosystem. Monoculture plantations, agricultural areas and urban areas are usually classed as Modified. Both Natural and Modified Habitats may contain globally important biodiversity values, thereby qualifying as Critical Habitat.

Table 2-1 Habitat Classes

Areas Identified in PS6		Condition of the Area	
		Natural	Modified
High Biodiversity Values	Present	Critical Habitat	Critical Habitat
	Absent	Natural Habitat	Modified Habitat

Since habitat destruction is recognized as a major threat to the maintenance of biodiversity and to assess likely significance of impacts, IFC PS6 requires the following depending on habitat status:

Modified habitats are areas that may contain a large proportion of plant and/or animal species of non-native origin, and/or where human activity has substantially modified an area's primary ecological functions and species composition.

Modified habitats may include areas managed for agriculture, forest plantations, reclaimed coastal zones, and reclaimed wetlands.

PS6 applies to those areas of modified habitat that include significant biodiversity value, as determined by the risks and impacts identification process required in PS1. The client should minimize impacts on such biodiversity and implement mitigation measures as appropriate.

Natural habitats are areas composed of viable assemblages of plant and/or animal species of largely native origin, and/or where human activity has not essentially modified an area's primary ecological functions and species composition.

The client will not significantly convert or degrade natural habitats, unless all of the following are demonstrated:

- No other viable alternatives within the region exist for development of the project on modified habitat;
- Consultation has established the views of stakeholders, including Affected Communities, with respect to the extent of conversion and degradation; and
- Any conversion or degradation is mitigated according to the mitigation hierarchy.

In areas of natural habitat, mitigation measures will be designed to achieve no net loss of biodiversity where feasible. Appropriate actions include:

- Avoiding impacts on biodiversity through the identification and protection of set asides,
- Implementing measures to minimize habitat fragmentation, such as biological corridors;
- Restoring habitats during operations and/or after operations; and
- Implementing biodiversity offsets

Critical habitats are areas with high biodiversity value, including (i) habitat of significant importance to CR and/or EN species; (ii) habitat of significant importance to endemic and/or restricted-range species; (iii) habitat supporting globally significant concentrations of migratory species and/or congregatory species; (iv) highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems; and/or (v) areas associated with key evolutionary processes.

- In areas of critical habitat, the client will not implement any project activities unless all of the following are demonstrated.
- No other viable alternatives within the region exist for development of the project on modified or natural habitats that are not critical;
- The project does not lead to measurable adverse impacts on those biodiversity values for which the critical habitat was designated, and on the ecological processes supporting those biodiversity values;
- The project does not lead to a net reduction in the global and/or national/regional population of any CR or EN species over a reasonable period of time; and
- A robust, appropriately designed, and long-term biodiversity monitoring and evaluation program is integrated into the client's management program.

In such cases where a client is able to meet the requirements defined above, the project's mitigation strategy will be described in a BAP and will be designed to achieve net gains of those biodiversity values for which the critical habitat was designated.

2.1 Applicable Guidelines and Standards

2.1.1 National Requirements

The primary framework of the Turkish legislation for environmental legislation is the Environmental Law (Law No: 2872). National laws and regulations regarding protection of the habitats and species are listed in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2: National Legislation on Biodiversity

Legislation (Official Gazette Date/Number - Last Revision Date)	National Strategy Documents
Law on National Parks (11.08.1983/18132 - 09.07.2018)	National Plan on on-site Protection of Plant Genetic Diversity (1998)
Terrestrial Hunting Law (11.07.2003/25165 - 28.10.2020)	National Environmental Action Plan (1999)
Law on Animal Protection (01.07.2004/25509 - 13.12.2010)	National Forestry Program (2004)
Regulation on the Protection of Wetlands (04.04.2014/28962 - 23.06.2022)	Climate Change Action Plan (2012)
Regulation for Implementing the Convention on International Trade in EN Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (27.12.2001/24623 - 20.07.2019)	Turkish National Action Plan against Desertification (2015)
Regulation on Protection of Wildlife and Wildlife Development Areas (08.11.2004/25637)	National Rural Development Strategy (2015)
Law on Protection of Cultural and Natural Assets (23.07.1983/18113 - 15.06.2022)	National Biological Diversity Strategy and Action Plan (2019)
Regulation on Collection, Protection and Usage of Plant Genetic Resources (19.07.2012/28358)	
Law on Fisheries (04.04.1971/ 13799 - 17.02.2021)	
The Environmental Protection Agency for Special Areas (08.07.2011/ 27988)	
Environment Law (11.08.1983 / 18132 - 15.06.2022)	
Forestry Law (08.09.1956 / 9402 - 25.12.2021)	
Law on Pasture (28.02.1998 / 23272 - 18.01.2019)	
Law on Coastal Areas Management (17.04.1990 / 20495 - 28.10.2020)	

2.1.2 International Requirements

International agreements, conventions, and protocols regarding protection of the habitats and species are listed below:

- The Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution (Barcelona Convention) (1981)
- The Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (BERN) (1984)
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1994)
- RAMSAR (1994)
- The UN Convention on Biological Diversity (1997) and Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (2004)
- Kyoto Protocol (2009)
- The Convention on International Trade in EN Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (1996)
- Paris Agreement (2016)

2.1.3 Project Standards

The Project, which will be realized using the planned financing provided by a group of development finance institutions and commercial lenders, jointly “Project Lenders” and with partial coverage by the German ECA Euler Hermes Aktiengesellschaft (“EH”). The Project Company intends to develop the Project in alignment with the policy and requirements of the Lenders (i.e., EP IV, IFC and EBRD standards).

The international lender standards concerning biodiversity for the Project are represented by the IFC PS6 and related GN6, EBRD PR6 and GN6 as well as Equator Principles IV (EP IV).

The impact assessment and CHA are carried out in accordance with the following international requirements:

- IFC PSs on Environmental and Social Sustainability,
- EBRD's Environmental and Social Policy and PRs
- IUCN Red List of Threatened Species
- The Birds Directive (2009/147/EC)
- The Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC10)
- Post-construction Bird and Bat Fatality Monitoring for Onshore Wind Energy Facilities in Emerging Market Countries - Good Practice Handbook (2023)

The IFC PS6 objectives can be listed as:

- To protect and conserve biodiversity,
- To maintain the benefits from ecosystem services,
- To promote the sustainable management of living natural resources through the adoption of practices that integrates conservation needs and development priorities.

Similarly, the EBRD PR6 objectives are as defined below:

- Protect and conserve biodiversity using a precautionary approach,
- Adopt the mitigation hierarchy in the design and implementation of projects with the aim of achieving no net loss, and where appropriate, a net gain of biodiversity,
- Maintain ecosystem services, and
- Promote good international practice in the sustainable management and use of living natural resources.

2.2 Data Collection

The baseline collection methodology of this CHA relies primarily on desktop components which are detailed below and the data from field surveys conducted as part of National EIA. The Consultant conducted a brief site reconnaissance visit as well.

2.2.1 Desktop Study

A desktop review of the study area comprises the major component of the present Biodiversity assessment. The desktop component was performed perusing the following:

- National EIA report (Flora and Fauna section)
- Relevant publicly available peer-reviewed literature
- White and grey literature
- Public biodiversity databases
 - eBird¹,
 - European Breeding Bird Atlas²
 - iNaturalist³,
 - Tramem⁴,
 - Trakel⁵,

¹ URL: Ebird.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

² URL: ebba2.info, Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

³ URL: Inaturalist.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

⁴ URL: Tramem.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

⁵ URL: Trakel.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

- Trakus⁶,
- Movebank⁷,
- Global Invasive species database⁸,
- Bizimbitkiler⁹
- Satellite imagery and maps
- Opinions of local biodiversity experts (formal / informal)
- Internationally recognized areas
 - KBAs
 - IBAs
- IUCN Red List
- Nationally threatened species
- BERN convention and appendices
- EU Habitats Directive
 - Annex I habitats
 - Annex II/IV species

Baseline information on flora and fauna has been collected through ecological surveys conducted within the scope of the National EIA study. Accordingly, the timings of the field studies carried out are given below;

- Flora surveys conducted between 15-23 October 2021 (National EIA).
- Bat surveys were conducted in August 2021, September 2021 and October 2021 for a total of 6 day/nights (National EIA).
- For ornithological surveys, the site was visited between 18 August – 27 September 2021 and 24 March – 7 April 2022, 15 times each period, for unknown effort duration (National EIA).
- For terrestrial fauna (non-bat mammals, amphibians, reptiles), 15-23 October 2021 (National EIA).
- For honeybees and beekeeping, late autumn 2021 and early spring 2022 (National EIA).

2.2.2 Field Surveys

Given the limited timescale, it was not possible to undertake the biodiversity baseline surveys during appropriate season before the completion of the CHA study. It was possible to conduct a brief site visit (one day) which can be described as a site reconnaissance visit.

On 29 September 2023, the Project site was partially visited by two biodiversity consultants of Mott MacDonald. Brief point counts for birds and transect walks for flora and terrestrial fauna were conducted.

Due to the seasonality (autumn) of the day, the visit only provided an opportunity for general observations about habitat characteristics.

If some features were not observed by the Consultant during this visit, it does not necessarily indicate such features are not present and/or abundant.

⁶ URL: Trakus.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

⁷ URL: movebank.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

⁸ URL: iucngisd.org. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

⁹ URL: Bizimbitkiler.org.tr. Last accessed: 28 November 2023.

2.3 Identification of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis

The Project consists of 10 turbines and their pads, the site and access roads, the switchyard area, and the entire length of the ETL and pylons. Although the ETL and pylons are owned and operated by TEIAS, the standards of Project Lenders include these structures, along with the site roads and access roads, in impact assessments and subsequent adaptive management and monitoring programmes.

The investigation into the region's ecology was carried out to define an EAAA, to determine the presence of features that may qualify for Critical Habitat. The EAAA was identified at a scale IAol of the Project area, considering large-scale ecological processes. This approach ensures that all potential risks within the Project footprint and surrounding vicinity are taken into consideration.

The EAAA was defined using a combination of water catchments, topographic information, and legally protected areas and/or internationally recognized areas of high biodiversity value information. Species with a very specific distribution and ecological requirements were taken into account in defining the EAAA.

For the purposes of this CHA, the EAAA for flora and terrestrial fauna (amphibians, reptiles and non-bat mammals) was designated as the wider Biga Mountains KBA borders, and since the Project is located at the northwest edge of the KBA, a section at the northwest edge of the KBA borders, extending toward the end point of the Project access road was delineated, stopping at the Bursa-Çanakkale highway. Further information regarding the KBA designation is provided under Section 12.3.3. The EAAA for flora and fauna encompasses an area of 516 km². The EAAA for flora and terrestrial fauna is shown on Figure 2-1.

For EAAA for birds and bats, the main consideration was bird migration since the Project is located along the Dardanelles routes of migrants. The overall route direction between Lapseki and Canakkale (center) is NW-SE. Therefore, the EAAA is a NW-SE oriented approximately 20 km buffer which also encompasses Biga Mountains KBA entirely. The EAAA for birds and bats encompasses an area of 1689 km² and is shown on Figure 2-2.

Within the EAAA, an Aol of the Project on biodiversity values was designated. For flora species, since the main expected impact source is ground preparation during construction phase, and secondary impacts of habitat degradation during operation, the Aol was designated as extending 2 km from the Project footprint. A similar approach was taken for terrestrial fauna species (amphibians, reptiles, and non-bat mammals) however since these species are more mobile, the Aol was designated as extending 5 km from all Project components. For avifauna (birds and bats), which are highly mobile and migratory, and can utilize much larger territories, the extent of impact needs to be studied in a wider area. The primary expected impact source is due to interactions with moving and electrified Project components. Therefore, an Aol of 15 km was adopted. This Aol also ensures coverage of Project roads which are secondary sources of impact for avifauna. Project Aol for all taxa is shown on Figure 2-3.




Figure 2-1: EAAA for Flora and Terrestrial Fauna for the Project




Figure 2-2: EAAA for Birds and Bats for the Project




Figure 2-3: AoI for different biological taxa for the Project

2.4 Limitations and Assumptions

The Consultant undertakes the CHA study given the following important caveats and limitations:

1. **Field survey duration:** A very limited field survey was undertaken which can be described better as a field reconnaissance survey that lasted half a day. Given the limited timescale, it was not possible to undertake the biodiversity baseline surveys for appropriate duration or effort before the completion of the CHA. The visit was partial due to the following reasons,
 - Access and site roads are only partially accessible by all-terrain vehicle,
 - No time was available to cover the site on foot.
2. **Field survey season:** The season (autumn) of the reconnaissance survey was not very conducive to studying the biodiversity features of the Project. Only a general impression of the habitat characteristics was obtained.
3. **Field survey coverage:** Only a limited portion of the Project site was able to be accessed. The entirety of the Project was not visited due to lack of vehicle accessible roads and lack of time to cover the area on foot.
4. **Desktop analysis:** The desktop component relies heavily on National EIA field studies at the Project area. However, the National EIA biodiversity surveys have deficiencies in meeting lender methodology and standards. One of the most significant deficiencies was pertaining to the Vantage Point surveys and Collision Risk Model. Additionally, Bat Activity Index is not available.
5. **CHA:** Due to time constraints of the assessment process and the quality/quantity of the field data available from the National EIA study, only a high-level CHA can be conducted. Present CHA relies mainly on (1) Desktop components and (2) National EIA surveys which are only considered preliminary.
6. **Field surveys proposed:** Surveys for baseline collection in 2024 were scheduled by the Project company and will be used to update the present CHA study.

2.5 Critical Habitat Assessment Criteria

A high-level screening was undertaken to identify the likely occurrence of species and habitats that could trigger Critical Habitat using the IFC PS6 GN6 (IFC, 2019). These species included IUCN CR and EN species, restricted-range and migratory/ congregatory species that were identified with IUCN geographic ranges within the EAAA. Likelihood of occurrence was evaluated based on consultation with local biodiversity specialists, landcover mapping, habitat preferences of the species etc.

Critical Habitat Criteria are as follows and should form the basis of any CHA:

- Criterion 1: CR and/or EN species
- Criterion 2: Endemic or restricted-range species
- Criterion 3: Migratory or congregatory species
- Criterion 4: Highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems
- Criterion 5: Key evolutionary processes

Projects that are located within internationally and/or nationally recognized areas of high biodiversity value may require a CHA. Examples include the following:

- Areas that meet the criteria of the IUCN's Protected Area Categories Ia, Ib and II,
- KBAs, which encompass IBAs and KBAs,
- UNESCO Natural and Mixed World Heritage Sites,
- Sites that fit the designation criteria of the AZE

Quantitative thresholds for triggering Critical Habitat for Criteria 1-4 are described in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3: Quantitative thresholds for triggering Critical Habitat for Criteria 1-4

Criteria	Quantitative Thresholds
1. CR / EN Species	<p>(a) Areas that support globally important concentrations of an IUCN Red-listed EN or CR species ($\geq 0.5\%$ of the global population AND ≥ 5 reproductive units of a CR or EN species).</p> <p>(b) Areas that support globally important concentrations of an IUCN Red-listed VU species, the loss of which would result in the change of the IUCN Red List status to EN or CR and meet the thresholds in GN72(a).</p> <p>(c) As appropriate, areas containing important concentrations of a nationally or regionally listed EN or CR species.</p>
2. Endemic / Restricted-range Species¹⁰	(a) Areas that regularly hold $\geq 10\%$ of the global population size AND ≥ 10 reproductive units of a species.
3. Migratory / Congregatory Species	<p>(a) Areas known to sustain, on a cyclical or otherwise regular basis, ≥ 1 percent of the global population of a migratory or congregatory species at any point of the species' lifecycle.</p> <p>(b) Areas that predictably support ≥ 10 percent of the global population of a species during periods of environmental stress.</p>
4. Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems	<p>(a) Areas representing $\geq 5\%$ of the global extent of an ecosystem type meeting the criteria for IUCN status of CR or EN.</p> <p>(b) Other areas not yet assessed by IUCN but determined to be of high priority for conservation by regional or national systematic conservation planning.</p>

Criterion 1-3: Species Biodiversity Values

In evaluating Harmancık WPP biodiversity values for criterion 1-3, species demonstrated to regularly occur on site (confirmed through survey or considered likely to be present) were screened against the relevant criteria listed in the table above. Taking into consideration factors such as habitat suitability, movements patterns, foraging and breeding habits within the EAAA were assessed for each species to identify potential critical habitat triggers. Since the population size data of the species in the Project area is in the form of relative abundance for the flora species, the population data was evaluated accordingly.

Relative abundance is calculated by local abundance / dominance method using Braun-Blanquette and Pavillard cover percentage scale. The scale is given below:

- Abundant species, weak cover percentage 1
- Abundant species or cover percentage more than 5% 2
- Cover percentage between 25% and 50% 3
- Cover percentage between 50% and 75% 4
- Cover percentage between 75% and 100% 5

For bat species, since both Bat Activity Index is unavailable from the Project area (or a nearby comparable project), and population (global and regional) data are very limited, it is not feasible to undertake CHA based on population sizes and predicted impact on populations. Therefore, all available information was gathered for the species which were observed or clearly indicated in literature for the area, and Priority Biodiversity Feature designations were made based on assigning 1 point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2)

¹⁰ For terrestrial vertebrates and plants, restricted-range species are defined as those species that have an EOO less than 50,000 km²

For coastal, riverine, and other aquatic species in habitats that do not exceed 200 km width at any point (for example, rivers), restricted range is defined as having a global range of less than or equal to 500km linear geographic span (i.e., the distance between occupied locations furthest apart).

collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. Species which scored 2 or 3 were included as Priority biodiversity feature.

Criterion 4: Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems

A desk study was undertaken to identify if a formal IUCN Red List of Ecosystems assessment has been performed in the EAAA. Where no formal IUCN assessment has been undertaken, a search for national/regional level assessments, which use systematic methods, is undertaken and identified. The presence of Annex I priority habitats designated in the EU Habitats Directive was also considered in line with EBRD PR6.

Criterion 5: Key Evolutionary Processes

The structural attributes of a region, such as its topography, geology, soil, temperature, and vegetation, as well as combinations of these variables, can influence the evolutionary processes that give rise to regional configurations of species and ecological properties such as genetically unique populations or subpopulations of plant and animal species. Maintaining these key evolutionary processes inherent in a landscape as well as the resulting species (or subpopulations of species) is important for the conservation of genetic diversity. By conserving species diversity within a landscape, the processes that drive speciation, as well as the genetic diversity within species, ensure the evolutionary flexibility in a system.

The determination of critical habitat for Key Evolutionary Processes is determined qualitatively on a case-by-case basis and heavily reliant on scientific knowledge (IFC, 2019); therefore, a literature review would need to be undertaken as part of a full CHA to assess if the EAAA includes sites where key evolutionary processes occur for biodiversity values.

Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF)

PBF have a high, but not the highest, degree of irreplaceability and/or vulnerability. Although a level below critical habitat in sensitivity, they still require careful consideration during project assessment and impact mitigation.

EBRD PR6 defines PBF as including:

- threatened habitats,
- VU species,
- significant biodiversity features identified by a broad set of stakeholders or governments (such as KBAs or IBAs), and
- ecological structure and functions needed to maintain the viability of PBF.

3 Baseline Conditions

3.1 Internationally Recognised and Nationally Protected Areas

Harmancik WPP, including its ETL and parts of the access road, is located within Biga Mountains KBA, code MAR009, which consists of woodland (mainly *Quercus* and *Pinus* sp), maquis, grassland, and agricultural land, and running and standing freshwater features.¹¹ Project site in relation to the KBA is shown on Figure 3.1. The KBA was designated with a focus on flora species and the plant species are trigger for the KBA; *Crocus candidus* (VU) and *Galanthus trojanus* (CR).¹² Parts of the access road also overlaps Dardanelles Strait KBA; however, the access road makes use of existing roads through the KBA. KBAs are internationally recognised areas that currently do not have legal protection in Türkiye but are widely used for various conservation aims. Biga Mountains KBA does not have any national protection status.

3.2 Habitats and Flora

The recorded habitats are listed in the Table 3.1 below and shown in Figure 3.1, along with their wide distribution areas within the study area. The amount of habitat lost due to site roads, turbine footprints and switchyard area are given in Table 3-2, Table 3-3, Table 3-4 and Table 3.5.

Table 3.1: Habitat Types of the Project Aol

Broad habitat type	EUNIS Habitat Type	Extend within Project Aol (ha)	Percentage (%)
Woodland	G1.3 Mediterranean riparian woodland	175.6282	1.75%
	G1.7 Termophilus deciduous woodland	1412.447	14.04%
	G3.5 Pinus nigra Woodland	3551.333	35.31%
	G3.7 Pinus brutia woodland (Lowland to montane Mediterranean Pinus woodland (excluding Pinus nigra))	122.578	1.22%
	G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	2111.937	21.00%
Maquis	F5.2 Maquis	90.55021	0.90%
Inland unvegetated or sparsely vegetated habitats	H3.6 Weathered rock and outcrop habitats	31.18492	0.31%
Agricultural Areas	I1.1 Intensive unmixed crops	2357.264	23.44%
	I1.3 Arable land with unmixed crops grown by low-intensity agricultural methods	171.7301	1.71%
	I2.2 Small-scale ornamental and domestic garden areas	32.96199	0.33%

Table 3-2 Habitat Loss on Site Roads

EUNIS	Area (ha)	Percentage
G1.7 Termophilus deciduous woodland	5.25	0.3715%
G3.5 Pinus nigra Woodland	3.36	0.0947%

¹¹ Eken, G., Bozdogan, M., Isfendiyaroglu, S., Kilic, DT., Lise, Y. (editors) 2006. Türkiye'nin Onemli Doga Alanlari (Key Biodiversity Areas of Türkiye). Doga Dernegi (BirdLife Turkey). Ankara.

¹² Key Biodiversity Areas Partnership (2023) Key Biodiversity Areas factsheet: Biga Mountains. Extracted from the World Database of Key Biodiversity Areas. Developed by the Key Biodiversity Areas Partnership: BirdLife International, IUCN, American Bird Conservancy, Amphibian Survival Alliance, Conservation International, Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund, Global Environment Facility, Re:wild, NatureServe, Rainforest Trust, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, World Wildlife Fund and Wildlife Conservation Society. Downloaded from <http://www.keybiodiversityareas.org/> on 02/11/2023.

G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	7.33	0.3469%
H3.6 Weathered rock and outcrop habitats	0.26	0.8472%
I1.1 Intensive unmixed crops	0.00	0.0000%
Total	16.20	

Table 3-3 Habitat Loss on Turbine Footprint

EUNIS	Area (ha)	Percentage
G1.7 Termophilus deciduous woodland	4.86	0.3442%
G3.5 Pinus nigra Woodland	3.57	0.1005%
G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	6.72	0.3183%
H3.6 Weathered rock and outcrop habitats	0.00	0.0000%
I1.1 Intensive unmixed crops	0.00	0.0000%
Total	15.15	

Table 3-4 Habitat Loss on Switchyard Area

EUNIS	Area	Percentage
G1.7 Termophilus deciduous woodland	0.00	0.0000%
G3.5 Pinus nigra Woodland	0.00	0.0001%
G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	1.20	0.0567%
H3.6 Weathered rock and outcrop habitats	0.00	0.0000%
I1.1 Intensive unmixed crops	0.00	0.0000%
Total	1.20	

Table 3.5: Habitat Loss on ETL

EUNIS	Area (ha)	Percentage
G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	10.64516	0.50%
G3.5 Pinus nigra Woodland	12.24407	0.34%
G1.7 Termophilus deciduous woodland	16.59291	1.17%
G1.3 Mediterranean riparian woodland	4.696314	2.67%
Total	44.17846	

A list of endemic species, based on all available information with their conservation status and whether they were encountered during field studies at the Project site is provided in National EIA. A total of 304 plant taxa were identified. The full list of species is not presented in this document, endemic species are listed with National Red List categories and location coordinates in Table 3.6. Additionally, the locations of the endemic species are shown in Figure 3-2. Given these species have not yet been evaluated by IUCN, national red list categories have been used.




Figure 3.1: Habitat Types of Aol

Table 3.6 The endemic species in the Project area and their coordinates

Taxon	National Red List Category	Bern	Coordinates
Regional Endemic Species			
<i>Verbascum hasbenlii</i> (Locally endemic)	CR	-	35T 473322N 4447139D
<i>Digitalis trojana</i>	VU	-	35T 473745N 4444369D
<i>Verbascum lydiium</i> var. <i>heterandrum</i>	VU	-	35T 473065N 4447197 D
<i>Ferulago trojana</i>	VU	-	35T 473532N 4444519D; 35T 469138N 4446784 D
<i>Crocus candidus</i>	VU	-	35T 468843N 4447190D
<i>Cirsium balikesireense</i>	VU	-	35T 473532N 4444519D
Widespread Endemic Species			
<i>Centaurea olympica</i>	LC	-	35T 47375N 4444369D; 35T 473349N 4445851D; 35T 468843N 4447190D
<i>Campanula lyrata</i> subsp. <i>Lyrata</i>	LC	-	35T 474709N 4444821D
<i>Stachys tmolea</i>	LC	-	35T 473351N 4446911D
<i>Thymus zygioides</i> var. <i>lycaonicus</i>	LC	-	35T 468843N 4447190D




Figure 3-2: Location of Endemic Flora Species

3.3 Fauna

3.3.1 Birds

The Project area is located on the minor migratory route of birds on the Dardanelles ^{13,14}.

Based on the three groups identified earlier that are significant for the site, namely (1) large soaring migratory species, (2) large soaring resident species and (3) other resident species of conservation significance, target species are provided on Table 3.7.

Table 3.7: List of significant species, conservation status and whether they were observed or are indicated in literature (L/O).

English name	Scientific name	IUCN	National	Bird directive	BERN	L/O
Levant Sparrowhawk	<i>Accipiter brevipes</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Northern Goshawk	<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	LC	NT	-	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Sparrowhawk	<i>Accipiter nisus</i>	LC	NT	-	Appendix II	O
Cinereous Vulture	<i>Aegypius monachus</i>	NT	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Meadow Pipit	<i>Anthus pratensis</i>	NT	-	-	Appendix II	L
Golden Eagle	<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Imperial Eagle	<i>Aquila heliaca</i>	VU	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Gray Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix III	O
Purple Heron	<i>Ardea purpurea</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Eagle-Owl	<i>Bubo bubo</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Common Buzzard	<i>Buteo buteo</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix II	O
Rough-legged Hawk	<i>Buteo lagopus</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix II	L
Long-legged Buzzard	<i>Buteo rufinus</i>	LC	NT	Annex I	Appendix II	O
White Stork	<i>Ciconia ciconia</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Black Stork	<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Short-toed Snake-Eagle	<i>Circaetus gallicus</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Marsh-Harrier	<i>Circus aeruginosus</i>	LC	NT	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Hen Harrier	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>	LC	DD	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Pallid Harrier	<i>Circus macrourus</i>	NT	CR	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Montagu's Harrier	<i>Circus pygargus</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Greater Spotted Eagle	<i>Clanga clanga</i>	VU	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Lesser Spotted Eagle	<i>Clanga pomarina</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Black-winged Kite	<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Lanner Falcon	<i>Falco biarmicus</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Saker Falcon	<i>Falco cherrug</i>	EN	CR	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Merlin	<i>Falco columbarius</i>	LC	-	Annex I	Appendix II	L

¹³ UYSAL, İ., & TOSUNOĞLU, M., (2016). The Bird Migration Routes on the Gelibolu Peninsula and the Effects of Wind Energy Plants, the 5th International Eurasian Ornithology Congress, Vol. V (pp.44). Çanakkale, Turkey

¹⁴ Erciyas Yavuz, K. 2014. Türkiye'deki Kus Hareketliliği Haritaları; movebank.org and eBird data.

English name	Scientific name	IUCN	National	Bird directive	BERN	L/O
Eleonora's Falcon	<i>Falco eleonorae</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O**
Lesser Kestrel	<i>Falco naumanni</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Peregrine Falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Hobby	<i>Falco subbuteo</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Kestrel	<i>Falco tinnunculus</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix II	O
Red-footed Falcon	<i>Falco vespertinus</i>	VU	-	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Bearded Vulture	<i>Gypaetus barbatus</i>	NT	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Eurasian Griffon	<i>Gyps fulvus</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
White-tailed Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus albicilla</i>	LC	CR	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Booted Eagle	<i>Hieraetus pennatus</i>	LC	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Red Kite	<i>Milvus milvus</i>	LC	DD	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Egyptian Vulture	<i>Neophron percnopterus</i>	EN	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	LC	DD	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Dalmatian Pelican	<i>Pelecanus crispus</i>	NT	VU	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Great White Pelican	<i>Pelecanus onocrotalus</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	O
European Honey-buzzard	<i>Pernis apivorus</i>	LC	NT	Annex I	Appendix II	O
Eurasian Spoonbill	<i>Platalea leucorodia</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Glossy Ibis	<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i>	LC	EN	Annex I	Appendix II	L
Krüper's Nuthatch	<i>Sitta krueperi</i>	LC	(Regional endemic)	Annex I	Appendix II	O
European Turtle-Dove	<i>Streptopelia turtur</i>	VU	VU	Annex II B	Appendix III	O
Tawny Owl	<i>Strix aluco</i>	LC	-	-	Appendix II	L
Redwing	<i>Turdus iliacus</i>	NT	-	Annex II B	Appendix III	L

*L: Literature, O: Observation

** Observed during field reconnaissance.

3.3.2 Bats

A list of bat species, their conservation status, collision risk and whether they were observed in National EIA (O) or at other wind farms nearby / indicated in literature (L) are provided in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8: List of bat species of the Project area with their conservation status, collision risk and whether they were observed in the National EIA.

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN Global	IUCN EU	IUCN Med	BE RN	EU Habitat Directive	Collision Risk	L/O
Western Barbastelle	<i>Barbastella barbastellus</i>	NT	VU	NT	I, II	II, IV	Medium	L
Serotine	<i>Eptesicus serotinus</i>	LC	-	-	II	IV	Medium	O
Savi's Pipistrelle	<i>Hypsugo savii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Schreiber's Bent-winged Bat	<i>Miniopterus schreibersii</i>	VU	-	-	I, II	II, IV	High	O
Alcathoe Bat	<i>Myotis alcathoe</i>	DD	-	-	II	IV	Low	L
Steppe Whiskered Bat	<i>Myotis auraszensis</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	Low	L
Lesser Mouse-eared Myotis	<i>Myotis blythii</i>	LC	NT	NT	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Long-fingered Bat	<i>Myotis capaccinii</i>	VU	VU	VU	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Geoffroy's Bat	<i>Myotis emarginatus</i>	LC	LC	LC	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Greater Mouse-eared Bat	<i>Myotis myotis</i>	LC	LC	LC	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Whiskered Myotis	<i>Myotis mystacinus</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	Low	L
Giant Noctule	<i>Nyctalus lasiopterus</i>	VU	DD	NT	II	IV	High	L
Lesser Noctule	<i>Nyctalus leisleri</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Noctule	<i>Nyctalus noctula</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Kuhl's Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus kuhlii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Nathusius' Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus nathusii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Common Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>	LC	-	-	III	IV	High	O
Soprano Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Brown Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus auritus</i>	LC	-	-	II	IV	Low	L
Grey Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus austriacus</i>	NT	NT	0	II	IV	Low	L
Mediterranean Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus kolombatovici</i>	LC	NT	LC	II	IV	Low	L
Mountain Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus macrobullaris</i>	LC	NT	NT	II	IV	Low	L
Blasius's Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus blasii</i>	LC	VU	NT	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Mediterranean Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus euryale</i>	NT	VU	VU	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Greater Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus ferrumequinum</i>	LC	NT	NT	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Lesser Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus hipposideros</i>	LC	NT	NT	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
Mehely's Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus mehelyi</i>	VU	VU	VU	I, II	II, IV	Low	L
European Free-tailed Bat	<i>Tadarida teniotis</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	High	O
Particoloured Bat	<i>Vespertilio murinus</i>	LC	LC	-	II	IV	High	O

*L: Literature, O: Observation

3.3.3 Terrestrial fauna (non-bat mammals, reptiles, amphibians)

A list of significant species is provided in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9: List of significant terrestrial fauna for the Project area

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN	BERN	Habitats directive	L/O
Common tortoise	<i>Testudo graeca</i>	VU	Appendix I-II	Appendix II-IV	O
Roe deer	<i>Capreolus capreolus</i>	LC	Appendix III	-	O
Mouse-tailed dormouse	<i>Myomimus roachi</i>	VU	Appendix I-II-III	Appendix II-IV	L
Marbled polecat	<i>Vormela peregusna</i>	VU	Appendix I-II	Appendix II-IV	L
Brown Bear	<i>Ursus arctos</i>	LC	Appendix I-II	Appendix II-IV	L

*L: Literature, O: Observation

3.3.4 Invertebrates

Ottoman's Copper (*Lycaena ottomana*) is VU globally according to its arguably dated assessment in IUCN in 2000. Its habitat preference is low altitude, coastal maquis and woodland clearings in the region, favoring wet valley floors. Its preferred host species is *Rumex* genus, which is widespread and common. The most recent assessment for the species for the Mediterranean is more recent (2013) which is LC, and the species conservation status nationally is currently being updated by the foremost butterfly experts of Turkey, due to recent studies establishing its populations are robust and the species is common (in litt).

Big-Bellied Glandular Bush-Cricket is found in forest, scrub and grassland habitats at altitudes ranging from 0 to 1,270 metres. The species inhabits steppe-like habitats dominated by xeric grasses and sparse scrub, in some areas like the Aegean coast of Anatolia it enters Mediterranean vegetation, such as sparse xerothermic oak forests or scrub or mesoxeric grass associations. The species prefers sparse vegetation cover areas in terms of forest and shrub areas. The species composition of this habitat in the Project AoI does not include sparse forest/shrub types. Forest/shrub areas in the region contain dense vegetation cover.

4 Critical Habitat Assessment

Evaluation against each criterion is carried out in table format which includes potential trigger species, their IUCN status, relation to the Project AoA, observation status in the AoA and summary of findings. Potential species were selected in line with the CHA Criteria from literature and survey findings. For Criterion 1 to 3, in case of the limited information to estimate numbers of individuals of potentially qualifying species within the AoA, expert opinion has been applied to evaluate the importance of the identified potential Critical Habitat in terms of global populations. The EOO of species has been applied as a surrogate for local population data. This means that a precautionary approach was applied in the evaluation against PS6 thresholds. Global EOO information was obtained from the IUCN Red List Database which covers all of the potential Critical Habitat trigger species. In some cases, the presence of species in the AoA has been inferred based on habitat suitability and in cases where presence has been confirmed, the distribution within the species range and project AoA has been assumed. This results in a conservative Critical Habitat evaluation.

4.1 Criteria 1-3: Species Biodiversity Values

For Criterion 1, CR, EN and VU species were examined whether the Project area supports more than 0.5% globally important concentrations of these species or whether the Project could lead to a decrease in population of species categorized as VU. For this examination, both national and international categories of these species were considered. For Criterion 3, migratory species were examined whether the Project area sustains more than 1% of global population in a regular basis or whether the area supports more than 10% of the global population of the species during environmental stress period. Estimations for criterion 3 are based on more comprehensive Vantage Point counts conducted at nearby wind farms with comparable locations (with respect to migratory routes for migrants) and habitat characteristics (for residents) by the Consultant. To arrive at a rough estimate, total daylight hours in a year (for residents) and total daylight hours in both migratory periods (for migrants) was taken into consideration.

The global population, the EOO and the Project area were considered to estimate the global range of species in AoA to assign Critical Habitat trigger status of species based on Criterion 1 and 3. When the observed number of species was unknown or species information was obtained from literature; the global population, the EOO and the Project area were considered to estimate the global range of species in AoA to assign Critical Habitat trigger status of species based on Criterion 1 and 3. Otherwise, the observed individual numbers were used to estimate migrant population on an annual basis and compared with the global population to design Critical Habitat trigger status.

For bat species, since both Bat Activity Index is unavailable from the Project area (or a nearby comparable project), and population (global and regional) data are very limited, it is not feasible to undertake CHA based on population sizes and predicted impact on populations. Therefore, all available information was gathered for the species which were observed or clearly indicated in literature for the area, and Priority Biodiversity Feature designations were made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. Species which scored 2 or 3 were included as Priority Biodiversity Feature.

For plant species, since global population and population data within the AoA were not available, the Braun-Blanquet cover percentage scale data used by the flora expert in the National EIA process were used in the approach.

Table 4.1: Plant Species CHA – Criteria 1-2

Scientific Name	IUCN/ National Red List	BERN	Extent of Occurrence (EOO) (km ²)*	Literature/ Observation	Evaluation	CH Trigger or Priority Biodiversity Feature
<i>Verbascum hasbenlii</i> (Locally endemic)	CR	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the ProjecAol. It was assumed that it would be a critical habitat trigger under the Criteria 1c and 2a as the species is locally endemic and its distribution area is limited.	Cr1 and 2 Trigger
<i>Digitalis trojana</i>	VU	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the Project Aol. It was assumed that it would be not a critical habitat trigger under Criterion 1b but priority biodiversity feature under the Criterion 2a as the species is not local but reginal endemic.	Not CH Trigger but PBF
<i>Verbascum lydium</i> var. <i>heterandrum</i>	VU	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the Project Aol. It was assumed that it would be not a critical habitat trigger under Criterion 1b but priority biodiversity feature under the Criterion 2a as the species is not local but reginal endemic.	Not CH Trigger but PBF
<i>Ferulago trojana</i>	VU	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the Project Aol. It was	Not CH Trigger but PBF

					assumed that it would be not a critical habitat trigger under Criterion 1b but priority biodiversity feature under the Criterion 2a as the species is not local but reginal endemic.	
<i>Crocus candidus</i>	VU	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the Project Aol. It was assumed that it would be not a critical habitat trigger under Criterion 1b but priority biodiversity feature under the Criterion 2a as the species is not local but reginal endemic.	Not CH Trigger but PBF
<i>Cirsium balikesireense</i>	VU	-	-	O	Given the species was observed during field studies, it can be considered as critical habitat trigger species. However, this assessment is difficult as the lack of knowledge about the population status. The only information of population status of the species is cover percentage between 5% and 25% at the Project Aol. It was assumed that it would be not a critical habitat trigger under Criterion 1b but priority biodiversity feature under the Criterion 2a as the species is not local but reginal endemic.	Not CH Trigger but PBF




Figure 4.1: Location of Target Flora Species

Table 4.2: CHA for Bird Species depends on Criteria 1-3

Common Name	Scientific name	IUCN	Nat. Red List	Bird Directive	BERN	Global Population	Population Status	Estimated EOO (km ²)	Observed individuals	Estimated birds/year	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Evaluation	CH Trigger or Not
Levant Sparrowhawk	<i>Accipiter brevipes</i>	LC	VU	I	II	10000-19999	Stable	3620000	15	90	0.9	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 90. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 100 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Northern Goshawk	<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	LC	NT	-	II	1000000-2499999	Unknown	113000000	3	14	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 14. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 10000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Eurasian Sparrowhawk	<i>Accipiter nisus</i>	LC	NT	-	II	2000000-3200000	Stable	54400000	44	280	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 280. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 20000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Pallid Swift	<i>Aquila chrysaetus</i>	LC	DD	-	II	2000000-3200000	Stable	54400000	10	11	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 11. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 20000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Imperial Eagle	<i>Aquila heliaca</i>	VU	EN	I	II	2500-9999	Decreasing	14900000	8	9	0.4	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 9. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 25 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria. Cr1c trigger is not likely, given that the species is associated with the expansive open fields in Thracian Turkey. The nearest nest is in Gelibolu Peninsula outside of the EAAA. PBF was designated as a precaution since a high number was recorded in Nationa EIA, due to its national conservation significance and propensity for mortality at WPPs. Assessment to be reconsidered after 2024 baseline.	PBF
Great Egret	<i>Ardea alba</i>	LC	EN	I	II	590000-2200000	Unknown	366000000	11	43	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 43. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 5900 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria. Species have high conservation significance nationally, but the Project Aol is not expected to interact to a great extent with the important areas for this species on the Canakkale Dardanelles coast.	Not trigger
Gray Heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	LC	-	-	III	500000-2500000	Unknown	136000000	69	445	0.1	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 445. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 5000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Purple Heron	<i>Ardea purpurea</i>	LC	VU	I	II	180000-380000	Decreasing	109000000	1	2	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 2. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 1800 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Common Buzzard	<i>Buteo buteo</i>	LC	-	-	II	2000000-3500000	Increasing	33500000	471	2956	0.1	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 2956. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 20000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Long-legged Buzzard	<i>Buteo rufinus</i>	LC	NT	I	II	100000-499999	Stable	32300000	58	120	0.1	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 120. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 1000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
White Stork	<i>Ciconia ciconia</i>	LC	-	I	II	700000-704000	Increasing	52700000	430	3657	0.5	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 3657. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 7000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Black Stork	<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	LC	-	I	II	24000-44000	Unknown	25100000	51	220	0.9	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 220. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 240 individuals, so the species comes close to qualifying for this criteria. However the National EIA does not make it clear whether the Black Stork activity pertained to migrant or repeated resident activity, and repeat resident activity is considered more likely.	Not trigger
Short-toed Snake-Eagle	<i>Circaetus gallicus</i>	LC	VU	I	II	50000-99999	Stable	48800000	63	813	1.6	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 813. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 500 individuals, so the species qualifies for this criteria. However the National EIA does not make it clear whether the species activity pertained to migrant or repeated resident activity. A precautionary PBF designation is made which should be re-assessed following 2024 baseline.	PBF, Potential Cr3

Eurasian Marsh-Harrier	<i>Circus aeruginosus</i>	LC	NT	I	II	600000-1100000	Stable	24800000	14	60	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 60. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 6000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Hen Harrier	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>	LC	DD	I	II	330000-512000	Decreasing	34800000	3	7	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 7. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 3300 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Montagu's Harrier	<i>Circus pygargus</i>	LC	EN	I	II	300000-550000	Decreasing	18000000	12	26	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 26. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 3000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Lesser Spotted Eagle	<i>Clanga pomarina</i>	LC	EN	I	II	40000-60000	Stable	6550000	169	1409	3.5	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 1409. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 400 individuals, so the species qualifies for this criteria. Counts from the National EIA are high compared to nearby WPPs also situated on the Canakkale mountains. PBF is designated with the potential for Cr3, activity should be confirmed during 2024 baseline, designation should be reconsidered.	PBF / Potential Cr3
Eleonora's Falcon	<i>Falco eleonorae</i>	LC	EN	I	II	32400-33300	Increasing	1890000	1	-	Unknown	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Thus, the EAAA should support 324 individuals, considering its global population. Species was not counted during VP surveys, but methodological shortcomings of the VP survey was discussed. Species was observed during field reconnaissance in September (1 individual). It is likely that the EAAA could not support 324 individuals on a year-round basis. However, there might be a nationally significant number of individuals for this species (EN nationally). A high count from a single day from nearby Ezine area on eBird is a minimum of 150 feeding individuals observed together. Given the shortcomings of field methodology, this species potentially could trigger Cr1c. Therefore, it was designated PBF as a precautionary approach, to be updated after 2024 baseline is complete.	PBF
Peregrine Falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	LC	VU	I	II	100000-499999	Increasing	413000000	3	7	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 7. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 1000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Eurasian Hobby	<i>Falco subbuteo</i>	LC	-	-	II	900000-1500000	Decreasing	493000000	40	82	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 82. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 9000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Eurasian Kestrel	<i>Falco tinnunculus</i>	LC	-	-	II	4300000-6700000	Decreasing	106000000	48	102	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 102. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 43000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Red-footed Falcon	<i>Falco vespertinus</i>	VU	-	I	II	287500-400000	Decreasing	3360000	12	76	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 76. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 2875 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria. Favorable conditions for hunting opportunities during migration can direct high numbers of individuals to certain areas, these conditions are generally unpredictable (season, weather, availability of prey). Given the species global conservation status, PBF designation was made.	PBF
Eurasian Griffon	<i>Gyps fulvus</i>	LC	EN	I	II	80000-900000	Increasing	20400000	8	9	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 9. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 800 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria. However, both the VP counts and the estimation is a nationally significant number of individuals for this species (EN nationally). Canakkale province receives this species' post-breeding dispersal from Balkan populations. Given the shortcomings of VP survey methodology and given the vulture species' specific vulnerability to collision risks, this species potentially could trigger Cr1c. Therefore, it was designated PBF as a precautionary approach.	PBF
Booted Eagle	<i>Hieraaetus pennatus</i>	LC	VU	I	II	150000-195000	Stable	62000000	20	83	0.1	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 83. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 1500 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Black Kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	LC	EN	I	II	4000000-5700000	Stable	115653659	72	309	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 309. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 40000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
Great White Pelican	<i>Pelecanus onocrotalus</i>	LC	EN	I	II	265000-295000	Unknown	51200000	22	97	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 97. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 2650 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger
European Honey-buzzard	<i>Pernis apivorus</i>	LC	NT	I	II	290000-430000	Stable	18200000	105	653	0.2	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 653. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 2900 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria.	Not trigger

European Turtle-Dove	<i>Streptopelia turtur</i>	VU	VU	II B	III	12800000- 47600000	Decreasing	7080000	68	290	0.0	The EAAA should support at least 1 percent of global population of species to have Critical Habitat trigger species based on Criteria 3. Estimated number of individuals supported throughout the year is 290. For Cr3, the EAAA should support 128000 individuals, so the species does not qualify for this criteria. PBF was designated due to global conservation significance. Designation should be reconsidered after 2024 baseline which is likely to confirm that the Project Aol is not significant for migratory or breeding activity.	PBF
-------------------------	--------------------------------	----	----	------	-----	-----------------------	------------	---------	----	-----	-----	--	-----

Table 4.3: CHA for Bat Species depends on Criteria 1-3

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN Global	IUCN Eu	IUCN Med	BERN	EU Habitat Directive	L/O	Collision Risk	Migratory status	Population Status	Global Population	Estimated EOO (km2)	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Score	CH Trigger or Not
Western Barbastelle	<i>Barbastella barbastellus</i>	NT	VU	NT	I, II	II, IV	L	Medium	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	12455378	-	0.5	Not trigger
Serotine	<i>Eptesicus serotinus</i>	LC	-	-	I, II	IV	O	Medium	Mostly sedentary	Stable	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.5	Not trigger
Savi's Pipistrelle	<i>Hypsugo savii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	O	High	Probably migrant	Stable	Unknown	15658670	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Schreiber's Bent-winged Bat	<i>Miniopterus schreibersii</i>	VU	-	-	I, II	II, IV	O	High	Mid and long range migrant	Declining	Unknown	19946710	-	3	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 3, it will be considered as PBF .
Alcathoe Bat	<i>Myotis alcathoe</i>	DD	-	-	I, II	IV	L	Low	-	Unknown	Unknown	2860473	-	0	Not trigger
Steppe Whiskered Bat	<i>Myotis aurascens</i>	LC	LC	LC	I, II	IV	L	Low	-	Stable	Unknown	4766158	-	0	Not trigger
Lesser Mouse-eared Myotis	<i>Myotis blythii</i>	LC	NT	NT	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	23471950	-	0	Not trigger
Long-fingered Bat	<i>Myotis capaccinii</i>	VU	VU	VU	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mid-range seasonal migrant	Declining	Unknown	5387022	-	2	Not Trigger Though the species attained a high score in the assessment, based on its habitat requirements (elevation and proximity to water), and Myotis generally being less prone to collision, PBF was not designated.
Geoffroy's Bat	<i>Myotis emarginatus</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Stable	Unknown	15654608	-	0	Not trigger
Greater Mouse-eared Bat	<i>Myotis myotis</i>	LC	LC	LC	III	II, IV	L	Low	Mid-range migrant	Stable	Unknown	7071111	-	1	Not trigger
Whiskered Myotis	<i>Myotis mystacinus</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Unknown	Unknown	13823224	-	0	Not trigger
Giant Noctule	<i>Nyctalus lasiopterus</i>	VU	DD	NT	I, II	IV	L	High	Long distance migrant	Declining	0-9999	8955906	-	3	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 3, it will be considered as PBF .

¹⁵ Hutterer, Rainer & Ivanova, T. & Meyer-Cords, C.H. & Rodrigues, Luisa. (2005). Bat migration in europe. A review of banding data and literature. Federal Agency for Nature Conser Vation

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN Global	IUCN Eu	IUCN Med	BERN	EU Habitat Directive	L / O	Collision Risk	Migratory status	Population Status	Global Population	Estimated EOO (km2)	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Score	CH Trigger or Not
Lesser Noctule	<i>Nyctalus leisleri</i>	LC	LC	LC	I, II	IV	O	High	Long distance migrant	Unknown	Unknown	20171114	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Noctule	<i>Nyctalus noctula</i>	LC	LC	LC	I, II	IV	O	High	Long distance migrant	Unknown	Unknown	24101079	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Kuhl's Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus kuhlii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	O	High	Sedentary	Unknown	Unknown	51385949	-	1	Not trigger
Nathusius' Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus nathusii</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	O	High	Long distance migrant	Unknown	Unknown	11175990	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Common Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>	LC	-	-	II	IV	O	High	Long distance migrant	Stable	Unknown	Unknown	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Soprano Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	O	High	Probably migrant	Unknown	Unknown	10673041	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .
Brown Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus auritus</i>	LC	-	-	II	IV	L	Low	Sedentary	Stable	Unknown	12039091	-	0	Not trigger
Gray Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus austriacus</i>	NT	NT	0	II	IV	L	Low	Sedentary	Declining	Unknown	6047987	-	0	Not trigger
Mediterranean Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus kolombatovici</i>	LC	NT	LC	II	IV	L	Low	Sedentary	Declining	Unknown	Unknown	-	0	Not trigger
Mountain Long-eared Bat	<i>Plecotus macrotullaris</i>	LC	NT	NT	II	IV	L	Low	Sedentary	Declining	Unknown	4767971	-	0	Not trigger
Blasius's Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus blasii</i>	LC	VU	NT	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	8849478	-	0	Not trigger
Mediterranean Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus euryale</i>	NT	VU	VU	II	II, IV	L	Low	Sedentary	Declining	Unknown	10858126	-	0	Not trigger

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN Global	IUCN Eu	IUCN Med	BERN	EU Habitat Directive	L / O	Collision Risk	Migratory status	Population Status	Global Population	Estimated EOO (km2)	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Score	CH Trigger or Not
Greater Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus ferrumequinum</i>	LC	NT	NT	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	Unknown	-	0	Not trigger
Lesser Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus hipposideros</i>	LC	NT	NT	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	22157273	-	0	Not trigger
Mehely's Horseshoe Bat	<i>Rhinolophus mehelyi</i>	VU	VU	VU	II	II, IV	L	Low	Mostly sedentary	Declining	Unknown	18885688	-	1	Not trigger
European Free-tailed Bat	<i>Tadarida teniotis</i>	LC	LC	LC	II	IV	O	High	Probably sedentary	Unknown	Unknown	18885688	-	1	Not trigger
Particoloured Bat	<i>Vespertilio murinus</i>	LC	LC	-	II	IV	O	High	Long distance migrant	Stable	Unknown	25697109	-	2	Assessment was made based on assigning one point each for the following criteria: (1) conservation status is VU or higher, (2) collision risk is high (half point for medium) and (3) species is a mid or long-distance migrant. As the species scored 2, it will be considered as PBF .

Table 4.4: CHA for Terrestrial Fauna Species depends on Criteria 1-3

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN	BERN	Habitats directive	L/O	Global Population	Population Status	Estimated EOO (km ²)	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Evaluation	CH Trigger or Not
Common tortoise	<i>Testudo graeca</i>	VU	Appendix I-II	Appendix II-IV	O	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-	Due to the lack of information on the population status of the species, it is difficult to provide an assessment of whether the species critical habitat trigger or not. Since the IUCN category is VU, it has been evaluated as a priority biodiversity feature under Criterion 1b.	PBF
Marbled Polecat	<i>Vormela peregusna</i>	VU	Appendix II	II, IV		Unknown	Decreasing	>20,000	-	Due to the lack of information on the population status of the species, it is difficult to provide an assessment of whether the species critical habitat trigger or not. Since the IUCN category is VU and population status is decreasing, it has been evaluated as a priority biodiversity feature under Criterion 1b.	PBF
Mouse-tailed dormouse	<i>Myomimus roachi</i>	VU	Appendix I-II-III	Appendix II-IV	L	Unknown	Decreasing	63559	-	Due to the lack of information on the population status of the species, it is difficult to provide an assessment of whether the species critical habitat trigger or not. Since the IUCN category is VU and population status is decreasing, it has been evaluated as a priority biodiversity feature under Criterion 1b.	PBF
Roe deer	<i>Capreolus capreolus</i>	LC	Appendix III	-	O	15000000	Increasing	Unknown	-	It has been evaluated as a priority biodiversity feature as it is hunting incidents in the region and degradation of the species' habitat throughout the country.	PBF
Brown bear	<i>Ursus arctos</i>	LC (Med.VU)	Appendix I-II	Appendix II-IV	L	110000	Stable	-	-	Due to the lack of information on the population status of the species, it is difficult to provide an assessment of whether the species critical habitat trigger or not. Since the IUCN category is VU for Mediterranean region, it has been evaluated as a priority biodiversity feature under Criterion 1b.	PBF

Table 4.5: CHA for Invertebrate Species depends on Criteria 1-3

Common Name	Scientific Name	IUCN	BERN	Habitats directive	L/O	Global Population	Population Status	Estimated EOO (km ²)	Cr 1,3 %Global Range in Aol	Evaluation	CH Trigger or Not
Ottoman's Copper	<i>Lycaena ottomana</i>	LC	-	-	L	Unknown	Stable	Unknown	-	Species is common and populations are robust in Türkiye and the Mediterranean. Located on a mountain ridge, Project Aol does not overlap the preferred habitat of the species.	Not trigger
Big-Bellied Glandular Bush-Cricket	<i>Bradyporus macrogaster</i>	EN	-	-	L	Unknown	Decreasing	200000	-	Big-Bellied Glandular Bush-Cricket is found in forest, scrub and grassland habitats at altitudes ranging from 0 to 1,270 metres. The species inhabits steppe-like habitats dominated by xeric grasses and sparse scrub, in some areas like the Aegean coast of Anatolia it enters Mediterranean vegetation, such as sparse xerothermic oak forests or scrub or mesoxeric grass associations. The species prefers sparse vegetation cover areas in terms of forest and shrub areas. The species composition of this habitat in the Project Aol does not include sparse forest/shrub types. Forest/shrub areas in the region contain dense vegetation cover. Thus, the species is not considered as critical habitat trigger species.	Not Trigger

4.2 Criterion 4: Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems

Based on EUNIS level 3, six natural habitat types were determined based on desk study and field observation. Five of these habitat types (except G3.F.) are listed in Annex I of the EU Habitats Directive. G3.5 (*Pinus nigra* woodland) is considered as priority habitat according to EU Habitat Directive Annex I. Other habitats are not considered as priority habitat under this directive (i.e., a habitat in danger of disappearance).

Table 4.6: Criterion 4- Highly Threatened / Unique Ecosystems Assessment

Broad habitat type	EUNIS Habitat Type	EU Habitat Directive Annex I	IUCN	Evaluation	CH Trigger or Not
Woodland	G3.5 <i>Pinus nigra</i> Woodland	+ (9530) Priority	LC	Although this habitat is prioritized according to the EU directive, it is not defined as critical habitat as its IUCN category is LC, but it is considered as a priority biodiversity feature.	Not Trigger PBF
	G3.7 <i>Pinus brutia</i> Woodland (Lowland to montane Mediterranean Pinus woodland (excluding <i>Pinus nigra</i>))	+ (9540) Not Priority	LC		Not Trigger
	G1.3 Mediterranean Riparian Woodland	+ (92A0) Not Priority	VU	Although this habitat is not prioritized according to the EU directive, it is evaluated as PBF as its IUCN category is VU.	PBF
	G1.7 Thermophilus Deciduous Woodland	+ (91M0) Not Priority	LC		Not Trigger
	G3.F Highly artificial coniferous plantations	-	-	-	Not Trigger
Inland unvegetated or sparsely vegetated habitats	H3.6 Weathered rock and outcrop habitats	+ (8230) Not Priority	DD	-	Not Trigger

4.3 Criterion 5: Key Evolutionary Processes

The Project area is located within the Mediterranean biodiversity hotspot. It is the third richest hotspot globally in terms of plant biodiversity, and amphibian and fish endemism are relatively high too. The hotspot is very large (including 5,000 Mediterranean islands). The highly modified nature of the habitats (most forest areas are presented with code B-it means degraded-) within the EAAA means it is extremely unlikely to qualify as Critical Habitat for Key Evolutionary Processes.

5 CONCLUSION

The present CHA results are presented below. Due to gaps in both white and grey literature, and Project specific baseline, it was evaluated that not enough data exists in order to safely conclude or rule out Critical Habitat triggers. The CHA is therefore preliminary and high level. The biodiversity values that were identified as sensitive are presented below as PBF triggers, with the recommendation that further baseline collection is carried out in 2024. According to the results of enhanced baseline, accurate identification of more CH trigger species will be possible. As such, the present CHA study is expected to be significantly revised with robust, Project specific data.

Based on the CHA, Critical Habitat trigger species and potential triggers are given in Table 5-1 and PBF are listed in Table 5-2.

Table 5-1 Critical Habitat Trigger Species

Scientific Name	IUCN	CH Trigger Criterion	Source
Plant			
<i>Verbascum hasbenlii</i>	CR	Cr 1 and 2	Observation
Bird			
<i>Clanga pomarina</i>		Potential Cr3	Observation
<i>Circaetus gallicus</i>		Potential Cr3	Observation

Table 5-2 PBF

Scientific Name / Habitat Type	IUCN	Source
Habitat		
G3.5 <i>Pinus nigra</i> Woodland	LC	
G1.3 Mediterranean Riparian Woodland	VU	
Plant		
<i>Digitalis trojana</i>	VU	Observation
<i>Verbascum lyidium</i> var. <i>heterandrum</i>	VU	Observation
<i>Ferulago trojana</i>	VU	Observation
<i>Crocus candidus</i>	VU	Observation
<i>Cirsium balikesireense</i>	VU	Observation
Bird		
<i>Aquila heliaca</i>	VU (EN)	Observation
<i>Circaetus gallicus</i>	LC (VU)	Observation
<i>Clanga pomarina</i>	LC (EN)	Observation
<i>Falco eleonorae</i>	LC (EN)	Observation
<i>Gyps fulvus</i>	LC (EN)	Observation
<i>Streptopelia turtur</i>	VU (VU)	Observation
Mammal		

Scientific Name / Habitat Type	IUCN	Source
<i>Hypsugo savii</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Miniopterus schreibersii</i>	VU	Observation
<i>Nyctalus lasiopterus</i>	VU	Literature
<i>Nyctalus leisleri</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Nyctalus noctula</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Pipistrellus nathusii</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Vespertilio murinus</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Vormela peregusna</i>	VU	Literature
<i>Myomimus roachi</i>	VU	Literature
<i>Capreolus capreolus</i>	LC	Observation
<i>Ursus arctos</i>	LC (Med.VU)	Literature
Reptile		
<i>Testudo graeca</i>	VU	Observation

